

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64953

Title: Potential role of noninvasive biomarkers during liver fibrosis

Reviewer's code: 01566356

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 13:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 14:00

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS The manuscript was properly drafted

2



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64953

Title: Potential role of noninvasive biomarkers during liver fibrosis

Reviewer's code: 01518946

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Research Fellow, Senior Consultant Dermatologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 22:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-13 10:29

Review time: 2 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript describes a review of non-invasive biomarkers for liver fibrosis. The title is very promising for recent progress in hepatology. However, the contents do not always show novel findings form original papers and cited papers are limited. The figures do not include any novel message or hypothesis. Furthermore, there is a variety of similar review for non-invasive biomarkers for liver fibrosis. Therefore, a reviewer thinks this manuscript should not be published in high-grade journals such as World Journal of Gastroenterology.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 64953

Title: Potential role of noninvasive biomarkers during liver fibrosis

Reviewer's code: 05844242

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-13 16:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-13 17:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The lower part of Table b in page 13 seems hidden. In Conclusion, This is a very good work and it is also well done. Although this is beyond the scope of this studies. I wanted to ask if a little note could be added about the specificity(sensitivity might be increased though) of these noninvasive markers even if not as specific as our present invasive confirmatory test. Also what perfect combination of noninvasive markers measures close to the our state of the art invasive method of evaluation.