

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 66354

Title: Managing liver transplantation during the COVID-19 pandemic: A survey among

transplant centers in the Southeast United States

Reviewer's code: 04623475 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Associate, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-09 14:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-16 14:11

Review time: 6 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an original article regarding Liver Transplantation during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The topic is interesting — I have the following comments: The period of the study should be added in the abstract — The first six lines of the introduction are completely out of fashion. We know from thousands of articles what happened during the pandemic. I suggest developing the introduction focusing on the main topic. Why didn't the authors use the appropriate CHERRIES guidelines? — The results should be developed more thoroughly to avoid a total imbalance with the discussion (which is far too extensive and unjustified) — The authors described the limitations of the study very well. However, I believe that more than 14 months after the start of the pandemic, this article is not up-to-date