

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 73092

Title: Polymorphism AGT2 (rs4762) is involved in the development of dermatologic

events: Proof-of-concept in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05391966 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-17 02:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-20 03:04

**Review time:** 3 Days

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

good study, and I suggest the acceptance.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 73092

Title: Polymorphism AGT2 (rs4762) is involved in the development of dermatologic

events: Proof-of-concept in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05562744 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Senior Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-13 07:18

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-13 15:39

**Review time:** 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Reflects the content of the study Abstract: Well written Introduction: Well written Materials and Methods: Very complicated. Especially a third party reader get lost while trying to figure out the study design process. I recommend a flow chart to tabulate the functions of the individual cohort Results: Although the results seems striking, the authors show absolutely no data regarding response to therapy, recurrences, OS and DFS of the patients. Although the SNPs' that are being studied correlate with AHT and the dermatologic adverse event, the manuscript is structured around response to treatment and the reader expects these results. Furthermore, the results section is equivocally complex and very hard to understand. Discussion well written Tables and figures are sufficient The ethical guideline have been followed and the manuscript is written according to the guidelines of the journla