

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 76718

Title: Survival outcomes and predictors of mortality, re-bleeding and complications for acute severe variceal bleeding requiring balloon tamponade

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05088164

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-01 15:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-07 18:12

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presents the results of a retrospective study on the management of acute variceal bleeding using balloon tamponade and the outcomes and predictors of mortality, re-bleeding and complications. This is one of the few studies that address this technique, that is a salvage therapy still used in cases refractory to endoscopic variceal band ligation or injection therapy. This is a retrospective study on a cohort of 80 adult patients from 2 large tertiary care hospitals. The manuscript is well written. Just small corrections regarding the editing must be made. Also I would recommend that the figures 1-3 to have the statistical p value included. Besides these minor changes there are no other recommendations for changes.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 76718

Title: Survival outcomes and predictors of mortality, re-bleeding and complications for

acute severe variceal bleeding requiring balloon tamponade

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00735332

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-08 02:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-08 10:32

Review time: 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. In general, this is a well-written manuscript. 2. The major concern for me of this study is that you stated a significant variability amongst several aspects of clinical practice around SBT insertion in your centers including 1) insufficient inflation of balloons(45%) and 2) SBT catheter migration(20%). a. The high primary hemostasis rate you reported was achieved by a non-standardized and suboptimal way of SBT insertion. If my understanding is correct, is there still need for gastroenterologists to receive training for SBT insertion? b. What is the reason for failure of primary hemostasis(n=5)? Is suboptimal insertion of SBT responsible for them? c. Should this be listed as a limitation? 3. There are inconsistent and chaotic references formats in the manuscript, including [], (), ()()() and "(5,6)study outcome measures"... etc. Please check again with your reference software.