

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 90241

Title: Advances in Novel Investigational Agents for Functional Cure of Chronic

Hepatitis B: A Comprehensive Review of Phase II and III Therapeutic Agents

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05242826 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovakia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-14 15:28

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-17 11:41

Review time: 2 Days and 20 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a narrative review about current developments in Hepatitis B virus infection therapy. 1 Title. - I suggest rephrasing the title - not phase II and III agents, but "Advances in Novel Investigational Agents for Functional Cure of Chronic Hepatitis B: A Comprehensive Review of the results of Phase II and III trials" 2 Abstract. adequate 3 Key Words. adequate4 Background. adequate5 Methods. The relevancy of this type of manuscript greatly depends on the sources of data. I suggest briefly describing the process of search and acquisition of data (authors experience, authors involvement in the research, database search, drug pipeline overview by specialised company, market research etc. This goes particularly for the agents without published results of the studies (table 2) 6 Results. - the coverage of agents and the description of trials is adequate. However I suggest to be more specific when reporting the results of the trials - e.g. in the example below write the exact proportion of patients who achieved endopoint, not 9-10% "B-Clear Trial was a phase 2b, randomized controlled study investigating the efficacy and safety of Bepirovirsen in 457 enrolled patients with chronic HBV when used for 12 and 24 weeks. Results revealed that 9-10% ..." 7 Discussion. not applicable 8



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wignet.com

Illustrations and tables. adequate 9 Biostatistics. not applicable 10 Units. yes 11 References. adequate 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? -yes Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? - not a native english speaker13 Research methods and reporting. To my knowledge no systematic guideline for the preparation and publication of narrative reviews exist. 14 Ethics statements.not applicable 15 specific comments - check spelling - tenofovir disoproxil (not disoproximal), HBsAg, HbSAg consistency, abbreviations explanation (e.g. LLOQ) I suggest expanding the conclusions section with authors own opinion on the most promising candidates, the potential avenues of research with highest likelihood of success, and maybe timeframe required to develop a cure along with the biggest scientific obstacles.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 90241

Title: Advances in Novel Investigational Agents for Functional Cure of Chronic

Hepatitis B: A Comprehensive Review of Phase II and III Therapeutic Agents

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05123258 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MSc, PhD, RN

Professional title: Chief Nurse, Nurse, Postdoc

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-27

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-23 18:34

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-03 07:34

Review time: 10 Days and 13 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
•	· ·



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is written in a very unclear way. Editing in English is required. It does not advance the scientific field.