

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6124

Title: Matching donor to recipient in liver transplantation Relevance in clinical practice

Reviewer code: 00181136

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 21:53

Date reviewed: 2013-10-04 04:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript needs revisions for language and especially spacing between words

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6124

Title: Matching donor to recipient in liver transplantation Relevance in clinical practice

Reviewer code: 00504802

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 21:53

Date reviewed: 2013-10-10 12:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well-written in general. One minor point - Authors may wish to consolidate expressions of "Afro-American" and "African-American" into one single way of expressing it, to simplify.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6124

Title: Matching donor to recipient in liver transplantation Relevance in clinical practice

Reviewer code: 00504811

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-03 21:53

Date reviewed: 2013-10-11 05:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This novel review outline the influence of donor and recipient characteristics on outcomes after liver transplant. The abstract could use headings, intro/purpose, methods, summary of factors studied, conclusion. Intro. What are common methods of allocation? Last paragraph would be useful in the abstract Body what about donation after cardiac death, donor hemodynamics, cold ischemia? Age - might want to include Pirenne Transp Proc 2005, Grande Transp Proc 1997 The statement that older donors should be used in HCC requires a reference DRI - give more information, what is it and how is it used Gender - give more information about the type of studies cited. What was the design, number studied? Should hepatic steatosis and split livers be included? Graft size - again give more information about the trials to show the depth of the evidence Make sure to spell out abbreviations at least once HBV - any trials of HBcAb positive liver donors? HCV - give more information about the trial design conclusion - should current methods of allocation be changed? What are the most promising strategies? What should be contraindicated?