



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6480

**Title:** FROM PORTAL TO SPLANCHNIC VENOUS THROMBOSIS: WHAT THE LIVER TRANSPLANT SURGEON SHOULD BARE IN MIND

**Reviewer code:** 01489939

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-22 14:56

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-26 01:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are some typographical errors that should be corrected



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6480

**Title:** FROM PORTAL TO SPLANCHNIC VENOUS THROMBOSIS: WHAT THE LIVER TRANSPLANT SURGEON SHOULD BARE IN MIND

**Reviewer code:** 00054024

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-22 14:56

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-02 00:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed current surgical techniques managing PVT and SVT in liver transplantation. Although review is extensive and contents are generally correct, many terms and language style/grammar need to be revised.

Many terms are not correctly used.

For example, “solution” should be “technique”.

“This review aims to investigate” should be “This paper reviews”.

Page 3, “risk factors” are just risk factors, not the cause. So it is inappropriate to state “resulting from ..... risk factors”.

Style and grammar issues

I highlight several sentences in the article where revision is needed. Most of them are grammatical errors.

There are several unfinished sentences. Page 4 (? A tear) and page 6 (in what situation and why?)

Table 1, I am not sure why the authors listed in the way it is now. It is very confusing. The most common method to list references from the oldest reference to the latest one.

Do the authors have a permission to use other figures such as Fig. 3 and Fig. 5?