ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9392

Title: Assessing liver injury associated with antimycotics: concise literature review and clues from data mining of the FAERS database

Reviewer code: 02559703

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-02-12 21:36

Date reviewed: 2014-02-28 01:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written manuscript that provides valuable information about drug hepatotoxicity, particularly those induced by antimycotics. I will be very happy to see it be published soon.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9392

Title: Assessing liver injury associated with antimycotics: concise literature review and clues from data mining of the FAERS database

Reviewer code: 00507910

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-02-12 21:36

Date reviewed: 2014-03-03 05:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

EXCELLENT PAPER!the following edits need to be made:page 4 para 2 -change adrug to drug. Page 5 last para - REMOVE "more properly" which is a controversial opinion, many experts don't like RUCAM. Finally, on page 6 replace "cannot be" with "were not" (since modern tests for mitochondrial and other cell death pathways can predict these rare hepatotoxic potentials in vitro more successfully)