

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13863

Title: Hepatitis C and Kidney Disease- An Overview and Approach to Management

Reviewer code: 00503243

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-05 09:38

Date reviewed: 2014-09-16 17:12

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a relevant manuscript on an important issue. The manuscript is very good and updated both for nephrologists and hepatologists. The authors should clarify better some point to gain further quality to their manuscript. a) In the introduction: 16.5 % of seropositive patients is true worldwide or are there differences among countries? b) under the heading hepatitis C resulting in kidney disease, please explain better why HCV is an independent risk factor for developing ESRD c) the mechanisms of cryoglobulinemia should be better explained . Indeed, cryo is a globulin anti globulin, that is to say an autoantibody. d) under the heading hepatitis C and renal transplantation in the cited studies lack comparison with patients remained on waiting list e) In the study of Hsu the uninfected group is a control one? In such case why the patient number is so different than the other two groups? f) Is there interference between new drugs, principally the DDAs and calcineurin inhibitors?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13863

Title: Hepatitis C and Kidney Disease- An Overview and Approach to Management

Reviewer code: 00503014

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-05 09:38

Date reviewed: 2014-09-23 17:45

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article had been written. Beside language minor polishing, I did not have any comments for the draft.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13863

Title: Hepatitis C and Kidney Disease- An Overview and Approach to Management

Reviewer code: 00503339

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-05 09:38

Date reviewed: 2014-09-06 02:19

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A truly important subject with an encyclopedic list of suggested actions for treating internists, hepatologists, and nephrologists. What is distressing are the large number of life or death issues for which experimental proof of therapy has not been developed. Nevertheless, after reading your paper, this Nephrologist is motivated to test for and manage the formerly large number of patients - especially those undergoing hemodialysis - who will probably benefit from the suggested therapies.