

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17781

Title: Comparative study and systematic review of laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00041468

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-03-26 18:37

Date reviewed: 2015-04-15 04:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this meta-analysis and comparative study the author(s) aimed to systematically review the result of open and laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. The topic is very interesting and of great clinical importance. Among others, the authors concluded that there was a significant increase in disease-free survival rates in the LLR group, which could be attributed to the larger incidence of microscopic vascular invasion found on histology in the OLR group. Based on the inclusion parameters of the patients in NUH, it seems that although patients underwent LRR had more comorbidities, the stage of HCC were not so advanced as in case of OLR group. Can this be a reason of the better disease free survival? The format of the manuscript must be changed according to the requirement of WJH. (Authors must be listed as well.) After minor revision I suggest the consideration of a possible publication in WJH.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17781

Title: Comparative study and systematic review of laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00070261

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-03-26 18:37

Date reviewed: 2015-04-22 19:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major comments: This is an excellent paper dealing with comparison between laparoscopic and open liver resection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The manuscript is well written and provides important clinical information that is potentially useful to readers. I have only minor comments. Please add analysis of factors that influence the prognosis of HCC, including parameters such as tumor-related, patient-related factors and surgical approach (OLR vs LLR). Minor comments: Data in Table 1 "No. of tumours" are lacking.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17781

Title: Comparative study and systematic review of laparoscopic liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00069855

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-03-26 18:37

Date reviewed: 2015-04-27 08:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Some statements or sentences are not very clear, it will be better to make necessary revision for them.