

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13552

**Title:** Recommendations for the use of chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Usefulness of scoring system?

**Reviewer code:** 02444864

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-28 08:44

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-09 22:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The submitted paper numbered 13552 entitled "How to guide Chemoembolization for HCC? Usefulness of scoring system" is a very well written, substantial and comprehensive review. Minor Comments Page 3 , line 2: refractory HCC has to be explained. Page 4, 2nd paragraph : the sentences "This last opinion ..... hypervascularised" , should be clearly reformulated. In addition, it has to be stressed, that a beneficial effect is not always associated with tumor reduction and requires for its assessment the advantages of functional imaging. RECIST and ECOG have to be defined. Page 6, line 18: "...were then divided...." instead of "are". Page 7 - 1st paragraph "...each of which are allocated..." should be reformulated - 2nd paragraph, line 9: "poorer" instead "poor". Page 8, line 5: a verb is missing.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13552

**Title:** Recommendations for the use of chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Usefulness of scoring system?

**Reviewer code:** 00507910

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-28 08:44

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-05 18:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nice job!