

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19876

Title: Clinical value of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in surgery for HCC – with special emphasis on early HCC

Reviewer's code: 01560036

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 10:59

Date reviewed: 2015-05-28 16:09

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good and thoughtful Editorial

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19876

Title: Clinical value of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in surgery for HCC – with special emphasis on early HCC

Reviewer's code: 02834693

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 10:59

Date reviewed: 2015-07-13 17:25

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please review the english, especially in the abstract

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 19876

Title: Clinical value of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in surgery for HCC – with special emphasis on early HCC

Reviewer's code: 02944839

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-05-25 10:59

Date reviewed: 2015-07-28 18:46

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an overview on the interesting and important topic of improved MRI-based detection of early HCC. The clinical relevance and treatment indication of early HCC are under debate. Although the authors have evaluated the available literature profoundly, the presentation of the data needs to be improved. The presented manuscript is a review in its nature, however, the authors speak of personally conducted previous studies in a way that is confusing for the reader. A clear analysis of the results of previously performed studies should be presented. The manuscript does not provide any information on the question when an early HCC is regarded to be of increased risk to develop into hypervascularized HCC. If this is to be the threshold for treatment indication a more clear-cut definition needs to be given. The presented figure is not helpful in its current format. Major language and grammar polishing needs to be performed.