

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13888

Title: Management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant

Reviewer's code: 02860795

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 12:07

Date reviewed: 2014-12-30 03:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. in the abstract, you mention "bonus point system" for HCC. This is not an accurate statement. it is a MELD exception system. 2. I would be very cautious about recommending PET scan so strongly (page 5). The data has had mixed reviews about its utility in HCC patients, especially pre-OLT. It is not standard of care, so it should not be written as such. 3. On page 6, you discuss LDLT, and inferior oncologic outcomes. There may also be an element of increased recurrence with LDLT because of the shorter wait time. Several studies have come out recently that a wait time of less than 6 months is associated with a much higher risk of recurrence. A longer wait time probably selects out the tumors with more favorable biology. 4. On page 7, in your discussion of multidisciplinary teams, you leave out hepatologists and radiation oncologists, who are two critical members of the team 5. On page 11, you should spend much more time on discussion of mTOR use, of which there is much more data than you reviewed. You also should discuss more information about the use of sorafenib post transplant. 6. Overall this is a good review, but much of the manuscript is spent on pre-transplant treatment with locoregional therapies, and very little of the manuscript actually discusses management of post transplant HCC recurrence. If you really want to have the title be about



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

treatment of recurrence, then the manuscript should be edited to reflect that.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13888

Title: Management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant

Reviewer's code: 02542077

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 12:07

Date reviewed: 2015-01-07 06:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is a interesting review about recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant, and can be published.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13888

Title: Management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant

Reviewer's code: 02445667

Reviewer's country: Iran

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 12:07

Date reviewed: 2014-12-23 17:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the review article entitled "Management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant" with interest. This is the very good and up to date review in this challenging issue and can be published.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13888

Title: Management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplant

Reviewer's code: 00004882

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-06 12:07

Date reviewed: 2014-12-25 13:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reviews the management of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation. Although the paper is well written, it includes a problem as described below. 1) In this paper, it is described that PET is effective for evaluating micro-vascular invasion. This is important for deciding indication of liver transplantation. The authors should describe how PET is useful for evaluating micro-vascular invasion.