

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15646

Title: NEW PRONOSTIC MARKERS IN LIVER CIRRHOSIS

Reviewer's code: 00053746

Reviewer's country: Czech Repoublic

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-12-02 11:33

Date reviewed: 2014-12-12 02:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a review on new prognostic markers in liver cirrhosis. All markers mentioned in paper are not in routine use and are potentially useful for the prognosis of patients liver cirrhosis. The review is well written, conclusions are critical and reasonable.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15646

Title: NEW PRONOSTIC MARKERS IN LIVER CIRRHOSIS

Reviewer's code: 02438659

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-12-02 11:33

Date reviewed: 2015-01-02 09:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper focusing on new biological markers (CRP, serum free cortisol, copeptin, von Willebrand factor antigen) to predict the prognosis of cirrhotic patients. However, there have no final conclusions of these markers on the prognostic significance. There are many language errors.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15646

Title: NEW PRONOSTIC MARKERS IN LIVER CIRRHOSIS

Reviewer's code: 00013213

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-12-02 11:33

Date reviewed: 2015-01-06 16:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-Your review article highlight another way of assessment of liver functional status ,and points to rather other prognostic markers in cirrhotic patients . Your manuscript clarified the role of some biological markers related to systemic inflammation in prediction of prognosis of liver disease ,however minor language corrections warrants your response. here are some examples : 1-Your statement: serum CRP increases in the event of SIRSetc ,you have to write the syndrome before its abbreviation when written for first time. 2-Your statement : AVP increases with(degradation) of liver function ,the word degradation is better to be replaced by the word deterioration. 3-(however its dosage is difficult and not routinely available),do you mean its level?as usually the word dose is used when therapy is considered. 4-(conversely to AVP , copeptin is thus easy to dose) ,this is to be corrected to:measure. 5-(copeptin has recently found its prognostic value)the sentence needs rewriting . 6-(30 septic patients) to be corrected to septicemic patients. 7-(with Child Pough score more than B8 , what do you mean by B here? 8-Your statement:Copeptin concentrations were higher in septic patients.....etc :firstly,the word septic has to be corrected to septicemic ,secondly ,you have not mentioned the control group that your figures were compared to.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

- 9- By the frequently used word : quintiles in your manuscript ,do you mean quartiles ?
10-(Secondarily,25-oh D3.....etc)the word secondarily is not the appropriate one to be used here.
11-(Its deficiency has been associated with increased risk of.....etc ,this information needs a reference.
12-(Vit D deficiency may contribute to deteriorate liver function),this to be corrected to :contribute to deterioration of liver functions. 13-A similar correction has to be made with your statement:(this hypercoagulable state may contribute to deteriorate liver function.