

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23673

Title: Treating chronic hepatitis B virus: Chinese physicians' awareness of the 2010 guidelines

Reviewer's code: 03262854

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-12-10 14:05

Date reviewed: 2015-12-14 19:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the current manuscript with great interest. It is a well designed study and provide useful information to physicians especially treating patients with hepatitis b about the trends in the treatment of chronic hepatitis b. I have not seen any language polishing. References are placed appropriately.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23673

Title: Treating chronic hepatitis B virus: Chinese physicians' awareness of the 2010 guidelines

Reviewer's code: 00011164

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-12-10 14:05

Date reviewed: 2015-12-15 15:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article entitled "Treating chronic hepatitis B: Chinese physicians awareness of the 2010 guidelines" is an objective-oriented article and endowed with considerable public health implications. In general, the article is informative and would assist to design HBV control program. Please check the following critics: A. The article is about the levels of awareness of the physicians to 2010 guidelines. However, almost nothing has been stated in the INTRODUCTION about usage of other Guidelines by physicians of other countries or areas. If there is no data that should be mentioned as well. B. I am not sure why long description of irrelevant facts has been given in INTRODUCTION. The objective of the study is clear; it is about assessment of awareness of physicians about hepatitis and liver diseases. No-specific facts should be deleted. C. Also, the Discussion chapter can be reduced to its 25% of the present form. The information is limited and a short description would be enough

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23673

Title: Treating chronic hepatitis B virus: Chinese physicians' awareness of the 2010 guidelines

Reviewer's code: 00070481

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-12-10 14:05

Date reviewed: 2015-12-23 15:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The analysis may be useful for the Doctors in the Hepatitis B area.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 23673

Title: Treating chronic hepatitis B virus: Chinese physicians' awareness of the 2010 guidelines

Reviewer's code: 00504271

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-12-10 14:05

Date reviewed: 2016-01-06 09:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Wei et al. analyzed how many Chinese physicians mostly belonging to the high grade hospitals adhered to the guidelines of prevention and treatment of chronic hepatitis B 2010 version. This survey indicates that education of the guidelines among the Chinese physicians has been propagated more effectively than when studied in 2012 (ref. 13, 14). This manuscript can be published even without the data of deep analysis (p. 9, l. 4-10) which are not described anywhere in the manuscript. This manuscript should be revised also for non Chinese-speaking audiences who are not familiar with Chinese medical system. 1. It would be better to briefly explain the Chinese medical system for non Chinese-speaking audiences: The classification of hospitals (the definition of grade I to III hospitals) and cities (tier I to V) which samples belong to. 2. Are there any difference between physicians working in grade I, II and III hospitals? (p. 8, l. 6-8) 3. The gender difference is mentioned (p. 9, l. 20-22, table 1), but it is not clear if it is important because the gender proportion of Chinese physician or the randomly selected samples were not indicated. 4. Interpretation of Fig. 4 is difficult for the reviewer to understand. Particularly, the 3rd paragraph in p. 10 (l. 10-19) is



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

confusing. Its discussion in p. 13 l. 21-25 is also confusing. Do Chinese physicians tend to use antivirals while not recommended by the 2010 guidelines? This paragraph contains mistyping to be corrected (1 x 10⁴ copies/ mL and 1 x 10⁵ copies/ mL). 5. The authors speculated the reason why adherence to the guidelines was the lowest in Northwest China might be the disproportion of samples from there: large number of residents and low of chief physicians (p. 12, l. 8-11). This reason should be analyzed statistically, but not speculated. 6. Mistyping should be corrected (1 x 10⁴ copies/ mL) (p. 13, l. 23). 7. The title should be "Treating chronic hepatitis B virus in China. Chinese physicians' awareness of the 2010 guidelines."