



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26300

Title: Molecular pathological epidemiology in diabetes mellitus and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00503474

Reviewer's country: Saudi Arabia

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-07 15:22

Date reviewed: 2016-05-16 18:19

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Molecular pathological epidemiology in diabetes mellitus and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma
 Manuscript category: Topic highlight Invited Manuscript (Number ID: 02451558)
 World Journal of Gastroenterology Overall publication value of the article: 1. Is the subject an important one? Yes 2. Does the article possess scientific value? Yes
 3. Introduction: Is the background of the study made clear and helpful to readers unfamiliar with the subject? Yes . However it is very lengthy and should be summarized and focused 4. Is the purpose of the article clearly stated? Yes Specific Comments: 1. Is the paper scientifically accurate? Yes 2. Does the paper fit the scope of the journal? Yes 3 Is the title and abstract informative and do they give a clear idea of what to expect from the paper? : Title and abstract are informative and give a clear idea about this highlight. 4. Introduction : should be summarized and shortened. 5. Is there anything missing that you feel should be included to make the paper complete or more comprehensive? No 6. Are the technical terms/compound names spelt correctly? Yes 7. Is the paper clearly written? Yes . I Do the language,



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

grammar and punctuation need significant improvement? No 8. Does the paper goes beyond data summary and provide a wider perspective and insight into the topic? Yes 9. Do the authors clearly state their opinion? Yes 10. Is the reference section adequate or is it too long and should it be cut down? No 11. Are all the tables or figures are well designed , adequate and necessary please ? There is no tables or figures 12. Is there a conflict of interest No conflict of interest.