



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27140

Title: Polymorphisms of folate metabolism genes in patients with cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 02861252

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-16 14:03

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 18:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good work

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27140

Title: Polymorphisms of folate metabolism genes in patients with cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 02861217

Reviewer's country: Armenia

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-16 14:03

Date reviewed: 2016-05-21 18:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear colleagues, Your manuscript "Polymorphisms of folate metabolism genes in patients with cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma" is an interesting study touches upon actual field of Hepatology. Manuscript should be accepted after minor revision. My remarks are following: ? Consideration of alcohol consumers, as "those who drink more than 4 drinks weekly, corresponding 30 mL of liquor, 102 mL of wine, and 340 mL of beer" is could be point of speculation. Current definitions "safe drinking" depend on gender and age, consumption during the meal, "binge drinking" etc. are more appropriate, than term "alcohol consumers" ? In paragraph "Statistical analysis" you mentioned, that the association between the clinical parameters (as far as I understand, besides clinical stages by BCLC also alpha fetoprotein, hepatitis B and C, diabetes mellitus and death) and polymorphisms with HCC development were also analysed by multiple logistic regression. But in "Results" paragraph there is not precise information about influence of all clinical parameters by results of multiple logistic regression, just one sentences "There was no association in the multiple logistic regression analysis of the analysed clinical parameters and polymorphisms in patients with



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

HCC stratified into tumours in stages 0 and A, and tumours in stages B, C and D, according to the BCLC criteria" with Table 6. It will be better to noticed results of multiple regression with alpha fetoprotein, hepatitis B and C, diabetes mellitus and death in separate sentences or table. ? In "Discussion" paragraph data of two studies (Varela et al. and Raphe et al.) about prevalence of different stages of HCC according to BCLC is meaningless. Thank you for understanding. Best regards



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27140

Title: Polymorphisms of folate metabolism genes in patients with cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00160603

Reviewer's country: Indonesia

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-16 14:03

Date reviewed: 2016-05-22 21:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The idea of the study is good and interesting, however it should be clearly stated the message from this study regarding only to show the relation of folate metabolism gene. What is the impact in clinical practice? Why folate metabolism gene is very important other than possible factor?