

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27149

Title: Liver resection for early hepatocellular cancer: Comparison of centers in 3 different countries

Reviewer's code: 00041468

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-14 11:19

Date reviewed: 2016-05-16 21:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The retrospective study of Wong et al. aimed to compare the results of 3 different hepatobiliary surgery center regarding HCC resections. Though the study has several limitaions, these are critically reviewed by the authors. On the other hand this study has a very important message namely that collaborations at an international level would be very important for understanding how to better manage and treat HCC. Minor comment: Figures A and B are repeatedly inserted into the text. After this minor correction I suggest to accept the manuscript for publication in WJH.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27149

Title: Liver resection for early hepatocellular cancer: Comparison of centers in 3 different countries

Reviewer's code: 00053888

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-14 11:19

Date reviewed: 2016-05-18 00:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting paper that compares 3 distinct groups of patients from different countries in a retrospective manner. Specifically the Chinese cohort is from a very short period on 2002-3, whereas the US & Japanese cohorts are over very much longer periods. This inevitable tends to skew the data. The paper, however clearly demonstrates significant differences in the demography & aetiology of the liver disease between the 3 cohorts which off itself is interesting. The authors are reporting the outcome following liver resection for early stage HCC. The paper suffers by the retrospective nature of the data and is in essence 3 retrospective audits, despite this the large number of patients involved make the data interesting & informative. There are a small number of typographical & grammatical errors that will need addressing and the discussion is too long but overall the manuscript is worthy of publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27149

Title: Liver resection for early hepatocellular cancer: Comparison of centers in 3 different countries

Reviewer's code: 00069855

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-05-14 11:19

Date reviewed: 2016-05-27 01:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Recommending to publish.