

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27067

Title: Efficacy and safety of tenofovir in chronic hepatitis B: Australian real world experience

Reviewer's code: 00011429

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-10 11:54

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 00:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-designed and well-written real life data study of tenofovir treatment for hepatitis B. The weakness of the study is its retrospective design, limited patient number and limited follow-up time. Although the data is coming from a real world setting, it is not original, and do not contribute to current knowledge and practice.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27067

Title: Efficacy and safety of tenofovir in chronic hepatitis B: Australian real world experience

Reviewer's code: 00011429

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-10 11:54

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 00:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-designed and well-written real life data study of tenofovir treatment for hepatitis B. The weakness of the study is its retrospective design, limited patient number and limited follow-up time. Although the data is coming from a real world setting, it is not original, and do not contribute to current knowledge and practice.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27067

Title: Efficacy and safety of tenofovir in chronic hepatitis B: Australian real world experience

Reviewer's code: 02860590

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-10 11:54

Date reviewed: 2016-05-21 02:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Title: Efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Chronic Hepatitis B: Australian real world experience
 Specific comments: ? The manuscript is relevant because the aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of long term TDF therapy in Australia, where the data about the rates of TDF response and resistance are scarce. However, the current study was conducted in an Australian single-centre real-world cohort of CHB patients. In addition, the authors wrote that "Australia is a multi-cultural country, and the CHB population is unique for the diversity of HBV genotypes, reflecting immigration patterns from Southern Europe, South-East Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa[2]". Can the authors clarify if these results really represent "Australian real world experience"?
 ? The space between the words should be reviewed throughout the manuscript.
 ? Statistics: Were the data distributed normally?
 ? Results: The p-value should be described in the main text and in the tables. The results should be described in a more concise and substantial manner.
 ? Please, the discussion should be focused on the data of the current study.
 ? Please get a native English speaker to check the English used in the paper. Various sentences should be reviewed



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

due to grammatical error. ? Accept but needs revision (major and minor).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27067

Title: Efficacy and safety of tenofovir in chronic hepatitis B: Australian real world experience

Reviewer's code: 01800329

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-10 11:54

Date reviewed: 2016-05-27 00:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As above