



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 31863

**Title:** Outcomes of pregnancy in patients with known Budd-Chiari syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 00066840

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-19

**Date reviewed:** 2016-12-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very nice manuscript. Minor BCS preexisted. However, the shortest time interval between BCS and conception was 0 years. Interval should be given in months or days. Table 1: Liver biopsy results can be omitted. Tables 1&2: Abbreviations should be given in the legend. Typo: Bechet's disease -> Becet's disease

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 31863

**Title:** Outcomes of pregnancy in patients with known Budd-Chiari syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 02823562

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting observational analysis of BCS in relation to pregnancy. Previous data are scarce and heterogeneous. The manuscript is nicely written. Tables are somehow difficult to understand due to their extension and large word counting in some cells. Percentages are not integrated: some of them refers to the proportion of patients and other to the proportion of pregnancies. In relation to the conclusion: I do not agree with the concept that gestation outcomes in BCS pregnant female patients are overall good... There are 38% of fetal loss (though 2 cases might be attributed to cervical weakness) and a 60% (6 out of 10 non-miscarried pregnancies) of preterm deliveries. In my opinion, that is not at all good outcomes. I have found that study period in the abstract is from Jan2001 to Dec2015, but in manuscript text it is stated Dec2014. Which one is correct??

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 31863

**Title:** Outcomes of pregnancy in patients with known Budd-Chiari syndrome

**Reviewer's code:** 03477936

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1-'Out of 53 females with BCS, 7 women had 16 pregnancies' should be explained with a clearer expression. (It was more suitable explained in the result section with the sentence 'Out of these, 7 patients had 16 pregnancies during the study period'. 2-'At least one causal factor for BCS was identified in 6 women (86%)' when it is out of 7 patients what should we say to the 53 females with BCS. This sentence should be more clearly expressed. 3-'These patients should be managed in centres experienced in treating high-risk pregnancies'.Centres should be centers 4-'ischaemic injury to the liver and portal hypertension'should be ischemic injury to the liver and portal hypertension 5-'Usually multiple risk factors for venous thromboembolism are present in patients with BCS' (1,5-7) should be (1.5-7)in English articles. 6-The introduction part is very long and some sentences should be in the discussion section. 7-The reference order is not sequential (13 before (11-12)and when it was used et al in the beginning of the sentence reference number should followed this word phase.