PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology*

Manuscript NO: 72846

Title: Electrical neuromodulation therapy for inflammatory bowel disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02731847

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-31 17:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-05 01:38

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very competent and thorough review on an emerging topic on IBD. Nevertheless, I have two major problems, which make this review not apt for publication: 1. It requires major language revision, it does not use language very well, starting on the abstract forward. 2. It is very long, it requires major summarizing - as it stands, it is not a minireview. I would suggest the authors to send the manuscript to a copy editing service and to summarize around 20-30% of the total manuscript, and submit it again. I would be pleased to review an improved version.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology*

Manuscript NO: 72846

Title: Electrical neuromodulation therapy for inflammatory bowel disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05665395

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-07 04:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-07 10:02

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Electrical Neuromodulation is the use of electric stimulation of nerves or brain regions as a therapeutic technique。 The article lacks logic

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology*

Manuscript NO: 72846

Title: Electrical neuromodulation therapy for inflammatory bowel disease

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02731847

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin-Ran Guo (Quit 2022)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-21 17:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-24 22:55

Review time: 3 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have improved the article. Language is more fluid and the text has become more clean. It is still a little bit long, tough.