

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology*

Manuscript NO: 82233

Title: Polymorphism of genes encoding drug-metabolizing and inflammation-related enzymes for susceptibility to cholangiocarcinoma in Thailand

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05453202

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Reviewer_Country

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-12 01:58

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-22 06:21

Review time: 10 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has the potential to publish, as the author presented valid observations of positive OV individuals closely associated with common risk factors such as the smoking status and alcohol consumption were delivered in the manuscript. However, there are still rooms for improvement to enhance the visibility and quality of the work.

The following are comments from my point of view for a manuscript entitled, "Review manuscript: Polymorphism of genes encoding drug-metabolizing and inflammation-related enzymes for susceptibility to cholangiocarcinoma in Thailand". 1.

The methodology was comprehensively described in the manuscript, however, the associated with each of the inflammation genes and the well-known metabolizing enzymes were highly due to the small sample size in the study. 2. If the statement or inclusion criteria of normal alpha fetoprotein are not the main contributor variable in the study, please remove. Else, there is no point mentioning in the manuscript. 3. Were the blood samples stored at -80C before DNA extraction? Why was it not extracted immediately after? Or was the DNA that was stored at -80C? 4. Please emphasis the basis of assessing the inflammation genes polymorphisms with CCA. And the link

between the carcinogen infection was unclear to correlate it with CCA and the inflammation markers. Need to justify the purpose.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology*

Manuscript NO: 82233

Title: Polymorphism of genes encoding drug-metabolizing and inflammation-related enzymes for susceptibility to cholangiocarcinoma in Thailand

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06473485

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Malaysia

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-30 03:23

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-30 04:35

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I believe you and your team have put tremendous work into this collaboration, studying and collecting data overseas. Your study is interesting and worth to be studied further. The possibility of CCA could be caused by OV and external factors (e.g. carcinogens or chemicals). Authors found no significant association of CCA with polymorphisms of drug metabolizing enzyme and inflammation-related genes. Perhaps, authors should state why CYP2E1 was tested, as there are other human CYPs that could be carcinogen-activating enzyme e.g. CYP4B1. Based on your manuscript, understand that this is a continuous study, the cases of CCA collected were dated back to 1999-2005, perhaps authors could compare data with the current population? I am not well-versed with case study manuscript, but it would be good if authors could provide the conclusion after the discussion section, limitations of your study and future work. All the best, keep up the good work. Thank you