

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Ms: 3718

Title: PRIMARY CLARITHROMYCIN RESISTANCES TO HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT THE MAIN REASON OF TRIPLE THERAPY FAILURE?

Reviewer code: 01944824

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-05-17 11:12

Date reviewed: 2013-05-21 20:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

This is an interesting and informative article, detailing important methodology employed for assessing mechanisms of H. pylori drug resistance, and further commenting on the role of clarithromycin resistance in treatment failure. However, the writing style is poor and, in many instances, virtually incomprehensible. The entire manuscript must be re-written. Specific suggestions to the authors regarding major and minor points of concern cannot be made until a revision is submitted.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Ms: 3718

Title: PRIMARY CLARITHROMYCIN RESISTANCES TO HELICOBACTER PYLORI: IS IT THE MAIN REASON OF TRIPLE THERAPY FAILURE?

Reviewer code: 01436291

Science editor: s.x.gou@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-05-17 11:12

Date reviewed: 2013-05-31 10:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

These researchers have addressed the problem of clarithromycin-resistance in the treatment of H. pylori infection. They have examined the dimension of such chemoresistance by scrutinising the scientific literature and basing on their own experience. They have also compared the effectiveness of genotypic and phenotypic methods to detect the resistance and made cost/benefit analysis. This excursus is complete and well done. The English is acceptable and clear. Only some correction or misprints are necessary, such as "coretip, polymerse, clarithromicyn" at pages 2, 3 and 4 respectively, to be corrected in "core tip, polymerase and clarithromycin". Finally, H. pylori should be italicized.