

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9265

Title: A review to better understand the macroscopic subtypes and histogenesis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Reviewer code: 01560464

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-02-11 11:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) The review are important guidance to clinicians who know about ,prevent and treat the ICC very well. 2) The background of tables need to be carefully modified. 3) I suggest that the article can be published in the form of review in world J Gastroenterology .

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9265

Title: A review to better understand the macroscopic subtypes and histogenesis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Reviewer code: 00503849

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-02-13 20:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review manuscript summarized the most recent results related to cholangiocarcinoma (CC) focused on the association between macroscopic subtypes of CC and their possible histogenesis. The contents of this manuscript can help the readers to further understand and elicit new research ideas related to CC. I recommend publishing this manuscript. Minor revision: There was no arrow labeled on Figure 3 as figure legend described.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9265

Title: A review to better understand the macroscopic subtypes and histogenesis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Reviewer code: 00057741

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-02-14 16:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

interesting review on a very cotrovertial subject very well documented. Worth to be published

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9265

Title: A review to better understand the macroscopic subtypes and histogenesis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Reviewer code: 02441405

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-02-21 07:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review summarized the important research findings on three macroscopic types of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. 1. Can authors summary the clinical, radiological and pathobiological similarities and differences among the three types of ICCs ? 2. Paragraph for cHCC-CC (mixed HCC and cholangiocarcinoma) is little relevant to the main purpose of this review article; it should be written as a separate review article. 3. Tables 1-8 should be re-organized. While the tables had a wealth amount of information, the current format of tables is hard to follow and read. One of suggestions is to re-organize the tables based upon type of ICCs, poor prognostic factor, therapeutic targets, conclusion and references. Minor comment: Cholangiocellular carcinoma needs a definition when it first appears in the review.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9265

Title: A review to better understand the macroscopic subtypes and histogenesis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Reviewer code: 00069105

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-02-08 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-02-26 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Too much abbreviations make the reading of the paper very complex The information included in tables that it is very interesting is not in the text. 10 tables are too much for the size of the paper.