

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9224

**Title:** IS HELICOBACTER PYLORI A RISK FACTOR FOR CENTRAL SEROUS CHORIORETINOPATHY? LITERATURE REVIEW

**Reviewer code:** 00505269

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-27 21:40

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-29 05:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This very well written and concise literature review, about the existing evidence regarding the relationship between H. Pylori and CSC. There are a few changes that I will suggest to the authors:

1. Title: Please change the title, since it is misleading; the current title is formulated as a question, to which the authors do not provide an answer. It is better to formulate the title as a simple statement to better fits the content of the manuscript.
2. The introductions should also mention briefly the role of H. Pylori as a pathogen for the human body and consequences: MALT, GERD, Duodenal ulcer, etc.
3. Discussion, page 5, reference #30, should not be listed as a proper reference. It is a poster presented at ARVO. As general rule, Posters should not be considered as references. Please remove the reference from the reference list and mention the poster directly on the text instead.
4. Discussion, page 5, please change the term "incriminated" to more suitable term. Ie: implicated, identified, etc.
5. Discussion, page 6, first paragraph. The fact that eradication therapy can improve retinal sensitivity should be clarified further. Authors should make a point that Microperimetry was used in the cited study and no contrast sensitivity charts. Authors should discuss how baseline characteristics and other factors could have had an effect on the observed results.
6. Discussion page 6, last paragraphs: please state how all this consequences off H. pylori infection could be related to CSC.