

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21849

Title: Mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease: Maintain or de-escalate therapy

Reviewer's code: 00031133

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-24 15:01

Date reviewed: 2015-09-13 20:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL Dear Editor, Cintolo and Colleagues, report an interesting review about mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel diseases, also focusing on the opportunity of maintaining or de-escalating therapy. In our opinion this review is of certain interest for clinicians experienced in IBD. The paper is well-written and shows complete and punctual contents. Minor changes could ameliorate the current form of the paper. **MINOR POINTS** - Recently, an Italian group (Rispo et al, Inflamm bowel Dis 2015) has shown a different profile of efficacy of thiopurines in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease (reporting better results of thiopurines in UC). The discussion of these findings could be useful and interesting. The reference should be added. - Recently, Manginot et al (Gut 2015) have shown that an endoscopic Mayo score of 0 is associated with a lower risk of colectomy than a score of 1. This issue is crucial and should be discussed. The reference should be added. - Please re-evaluate English grammar.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21849

Title: Mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease: Maintain or de-escalate therapy

Reviewer's code: 00061698

Reviewer's country: France

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-24 15:01

Date reviewed: 2015-09-24 22:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of the manuscript by Cintolo et al. is of interest to the scientific community and relevance to the journal. Overall, the manuscript is well written. I have only several suggestions which could improve this study. - The abstract should briefly mention the main contents that will be summarized in the review. - Several parts should be better developed. For example, section "Immunomodulators"-page 11. - Many typo/grammatical errors appear throughout the manuscript. For example: combined therapies – page 2; which is why it is – page 3 ; Generally speaking – page 5; up to now – page 6; Determination is non-invasive and cheap – page 7; calprotectin – page 7; FC it's – page 7; "this is due to the fact that only recently has greater emphasis been put on mucosal healing and histological healing" - page 10; etc. The authors should improve the poor quality of English writing and the lack of manuscript proofreading.