

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4119

**Title:** Eosinophils and Mast Cells as Therapeutic Targets in Pediatric Functional Dyspepsia

**Reviewer code:** 00503417

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-16 10:01

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-24 02:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive and useful review of the subject. The article may be considered for acceptance as it is. I have no comments for the authors

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4119

**Title:** Eosinophils and Mast Cells as Therapeutic Targets in Pediatric Functional Dyspepsia

**Reviewer code:** 02444990

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-16 10:01

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-09 02:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the work, well-conducted, accompanied by an extensive and current review of the literature. However, I believe that you should reduced the references eliminating the older