

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6435

Title: Refractory constipation: a therapeutic challenge

Reviewer code: 02445054

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-21 11:55

Date reviewed: 2013-12-19 20:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for an interesting reading in an important topic. Over all a well written review, mostly with relatively new references. I have some suggestions that could improve the paper. The rationale for the review is not very clear, why is this important to compile results from this studies? The purpose of the review is not clear, a clear purpose or aim is helpful for the reader to understand what to expect from the rest of the paper. The title did not give me the clue I need to understand the purpose, maybe a more distinct title would be helpful too. P4 introduction. Chronic constipation is stated as one of the most frequent complaint, however the reference is 15 years old, why is it reasonable to assume that this is the state today? P14, Conclusion. I find the text to be a summary rather than a conclusion. Perhaps with a clear purpose it will be easier to see what this review add to earlier state of knowledge and then easier to formulate a conclusion. I suggest that this should be revised.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6435

Title: Refractory constipation: a therapeutic challenge

Reviewer code: 01436006

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-21 11:55

Date reviewed: 2013-12-19 22:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reviewed the treatment for refractory constipation. I think it is a good paper, and help clinic doctors to get more information about refractory constipation. However the abstract should be simplified.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6435

Title: Refractory constipation: a therapeutic challenge

Reviewer code: 00071501

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-21 11:55

Date reviewed: 2013-12-23 01:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors main focus is on the pharmacotherapeutics of the constipation. There is no mention about the details of constipation eg classification ,diagnostic interpretation and its effect on therapeutic strategies. Only a few lines on surgical options is contrary to the many publications on this topic.