



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7316

**Title:** The IBDs: Current Problems and Future Tasks

**Reviewer code:** 00009064

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-13 17:51

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-17 19:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although you have submitted your ms a a review, you have presented the paper as a study of 64 patients from your office practice. That is why it is neither fitting in well as a review nor as an original research paper. Would you consider changing it completely as an opinion paper or as an editorial ? A retrospective analysis of a group of your outpatients is not bringing home any significant findings and hence only your impressions.



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7316

**Title:** The IBDs: Current Problems and Future Tasks

**Reviewer code:** 00009417

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-13 17:51

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-03 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the review current data about IBD as a symptome of complex mucosal barrier disorder are summarized and substantiated by own investigations. Comments - The authors make the attempt to sub-classify ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. Is there any morphologically or molecularly based evidence that e.g. psoriasis-associated IBD is different from non-psoriatic IBD variants? - The proposed classification does not explain the restriction of ulcerative colitis to the colorectum; is there any working hypothesis?



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7316

**Title:** The IBDs: Current Problems and Future Tasks

**Reviewer code:** 00068103

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-13 17:51

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-04 04:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y]Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors studied a population of IBD patients, but only 64 IBD patients (26 CD and 38 UC) were involved in the epidemiologic study, which is hard to make pertinent conclusion.



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7316

**Title:** The IBDs: Current Problems and Future Tasks

**Reviewer code:** 00001373

**Science editor:** Gou, Su-Xin

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-13 17:51

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-12 17:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an article which highlights the clinical messages from real-world practice. It is stated to be a review article, although I consider it as a personal view based on personal data. Nevertheless, the manuscript could be of interest for clinicians. Tables are not self-explanatory. Please improve them.