



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20349

**Title:** Age-related differences in celiac disease: Specific characteristics of adult presentation

**Reviewer's code:** 01550345

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-03 14:06

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-08 23:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No focus, poor review of well known and old-fashioned data, no clue about 'age related'



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20349

**Title:** Age-related differences in celiac disease: Specific characteristics of adult presentation

**Reviewer's code:** 00504545

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-03 14:06

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-19 16:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent review about a very important subject showing very clear the big differences existing between the celiac disease in children and adults in the different aspects of this intriguing disease



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20349

**Title:** Age-related differences in celiac disease: Specific characteristics of adult presentation

**Reviewer’s code:** 03252267

**Reviewer’s country:** Chile

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-03 14:06

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-17 10:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a straightforward revision of clinical aspects specific to adult celiac disease. Comments: This manuscript would be benefited if revised by a native English speaker. The authors refer to richness of intestinal microbiota as one of age-related differences both in celiac and non-celiac individuals. It would be very important to also mention the relevance of microbiota stability, which also changes with age. The authors claim that the main cause of the lack of mucosal recovery in adults could be the continuous and inadvertent ingestion of small gluten amounts, however it is not clear why this would not affect children as well- please explain. The Conclusions section only refer to table 3 and seems underused by repeating the objective of the paper. Minor changes: 1. In the abstract and somewhere else it reads “reveal” where it should read “revealed” 2. Citation numbers should be placed after each sentence but before a period. 3. Please check for adequate spacing between words, parentheses, etc. 4. Page 3, second paragraph: “...percentages of affected individuals may be of over 15%” should read “...affected individuals may exceed 15%” 5. Page 3, third paragraph: “...is frequent in children at diagnosis” should read “...is frequent in children at time of diagnosis” 6. Page 3,



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

fourth paragraph: Age classification in Table 1 is self-explanatory. There is no need to indicate in the text: "...It has been divided into three groups, two of them in infancy (Breastfed infants and children >2 years) and the adult group." 7. Page 4, third paragraph: "...an underlying CD must always be discarded" should read "...an underlying CD must always be ruled out"