

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21751

Title: Recent discoveries and emerging therapeutics in eosinophilic esophagitis

Reviewer's code: 02941693

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 10:20

Date reviewed: 2015-08-22 04:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive and mostly well written paper reviewing EoE. -Introduction needs to be edited. Several sentences are not clear, such as "Despite 20 years, EoE is relatively a newly recognized disease". Please edit carefully. -Throughout the paper punctuation is placed before references .(4) I believe this is incorrect and should be as follows (4). -Please discuss literature on how many biopsies are adequate from the mid and distal esophagus, this is an important clinical question. Are 4 biopsies needed? Any data to support 2 versus 4? -Page 7 Line 2, replace "are evidence" with "is evidence" -Please expand the section on steroid use. Please discuss dosing, length of treatment, recurrence rate, outcomes. These are critical pieces of information for a clinician about one of the most common drug treatments for EoE. -Please expand on the indications for dilation, and any literature on the long term success of dilation without medical treatment.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 21751

Title: Recent discoveries and emerging therapeutics in eosinophilic esophagitis

Reviewer's code: 02520511

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 10:20

Date reviewed: 2015-08-30 00:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Comments: This is a nice review article. I would suggest adding some information on role of long-term topical steroid therapy (maintenance therapy) in EoE to make it more complete. Minor

Comments: page 11 CRTH2 typed as CHTH2 page 12 - randomize should be randomized page 13 - show"ed" significant improvement