

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 20624

Title: Eosinophilic esophagitis: New insights in pathogenesis and therapy

Reviewer's code: 03338934

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-06-15 10:52

Date reviewed: 2015-07-11 01:23

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor of World Journal of Gastroenterology Guarino and colleagues reviewed new insights in the pathogenesis and treatment of Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE). The authors describe the prevalence, clinical, endoscopic, histological features. Extensively explaining the pathogenesis and treatment There are few minor concerns with the manuscript. 1. Page 4, first paragraph last sentence "Occasionally it is associated with esophageal infiltration of other segments of the gastrointestinal tract". It is important to add the reference for this sentence. To the best of my knowledge EoE is isolated to the esophagus. 2. Unexplained gender difference. I recommend discussing the Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) in pathogenesis of EoE. 3. Clinical presentations. The symptom of dysphagia has been emphasized with almost no mention of clinical presentation in children. 4. The significance of treated with high dose PPI is not to exclude only GERD but also PPI-responsive esophageal eosinophilia. The clinical and endoscopic features of PPI REE and EoE is indistinguishable however the authors mention only dysphagia as a compliant responding to PPI in a subset of patients. 5. Endoscopic finding: Reference for 1/3 patients may have normal endoscopic appearance 6. Reference supporting biopsies from patient with GERD have



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

<15 eosinophils present only in the distal segment. 7. Treatment: Important to mention topical steroid are off label. Describe evidence or lack of evidence of adrenal suppression with use of topical steroids 8. Intravenous IL blockers? Which once are available and FDA approved 9. The sentence "some patients appear to respond to steroids" is unclear as identification of allergic dietary antigens is one of the mainstay of treatment. Thanks in advance for the opportunity to review this paper Sabina

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 20624

Title: Eosinophilic esophagitis: New insights in pathogenesis and therapy

Reviewer's code: 03261357

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-06-15 10:52

Date reviewed: 2015-06-20 23:59

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I congratulate you for the extensive and pertinent review conducted on an increasingly prevalent condition in clinical practice that is eosinophilic esophagitis. However, some more detailed consideration should be made concerning some issues related to the disease, in line with the extensive description given in relation to other points of the article: 1 - A reference to the correlation between symptoms and endoscopic findings and the correlation between the latter and the histological findings should be made. It may be still interesting to make a comment about combination of findings and the likelihood of diagnosis. 2 - There is no mention made concerning the complications of the disease, especially the risk of perforation after food impaction. 3 - A topic related to the prognosis should be added.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 20624

Title: Eosinophilic esophagitis: New insights in pathogenesis and therapy

Reviewer's code: 00050080

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-06-15 10:52

Date reviewed: 2015-06-22 08:08

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review involves everything regarding EoE. It is very informative. However, this manuscript is too long, so readers have to spend much time to read this manuscript. And contents of this manuscript is redundant in many part. I think review in medical journal should be well constituted and summarized. This review is absence of perspective on this point.