

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25599

Title: Chinese Helicobacter pylori vaccine: Solution for an old challenge?

Reviewer's code: 00000085

Reviewer's country: Switzerland

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-18 12:04

Date reviewed: 2016-03-18 20:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review deals with the new data that have been recently published on the phase III clinical trial of H pylori vaccine. Remarkably, this clinical trial performed in China gave very positive results demonstrating that the development of the H pylori vaccine is achievable. Although the topic of the review is of high interest for gastroenterologists and biologists, the review is not well written and has a lot of misunderstandings through the text.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25599

Title: Chinese Helicobacter pylori vaccine: Solution for an old challenge?

Reviewer's code: 00343118

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-18 12:04

Date reviewed: 2016-03-20 17:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This current study is of interest since it takes into account one of the major health problem as the state of research of a vaccine against H. Pylori infection. This bacteria is extremely diffuses and causes several and important diseases. I suggest to revise the english language.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25599

Title: Chinese Helicobacter pylori vaccine: Solution for an old challenge?

Reviewer's code: 00183445

Reviewer's country: Poland

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-18 12:04

Date reviewed: 2016-03-29 17:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors Amin Talebi Bezmin Abadi and Young Yeh Lee prepared a review on the research results of the prophylactic vaccine against H. pylori, which has been published by Ming Zeng et al., Lancet 2015 386: 1457-1464. Although the review article is interesting in my opinion it is too laconic in the part describing the Chinese vaccine. This overview requires a broader description of presented vaccine, the characteristic of the study participants and selection methods, the determinants of the efficacy of the vaccine and possible immune mechanisms. The tables should cite the source of information. The authors should also comment on the limitations of the study.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25599

Title: Chinese Helicobacter pylori vaccine: Solution for an old challenge?

Reviewer's code: 00158526

Reviewer's country: Slovenia

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-18 12:04

Date reviewed: 2016-04-01 00:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review for the manuscript No: 25599 The Chinese Helicobacter pylori vaccine: solution for an old challenge? Submitted by Amin Talebi Bezmin Abadi and YeongYeh Lee. General comments: This is a nice and well-structured manuscript describing the study published in Lancet recently regarding the new developed vaccine against H. pylori infection. Major comments: - Table 1. it should be corrected with more specific data regarding eradication rates, the table contains to general information's - the authors should describe the Chinese study more in details: the composition of the vaccine, the results of the study, the side effects etc. - the English language can be improved The topic is very current and interesting for readers, so with some corrections mentioned earlier, I think you should accept the manuscript for publication.