

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 23674

Title: Faecal incontinence and health related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease: Findings from a tertiary care center in South Asia

Reviewer's code: 03474085

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-12-11 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-12-20 11:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is interesting and relevant, but some improvements are needed to be considered for publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 23674

Title: Faecal incontinence and health related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease: Findings from a tertiary care center in South Asia

Reviewer's code: 03476667

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-12-11 09:33

Date reviewed: 2015-12-22 07:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Duminda Subasinghe et al wrote an interesting paper on an often neglected issue that severely impair the quality of life of IBD patients. The manuscript is well written and the topic is rarely addressed in current literature; however, I think that results are not presented properly, as such, I have few suggestions in order to improve the manuscript: 1) Figures and tables are not easy to understand and they lack legends; I think figure 1 and figure 2 should be reconfigured and figure legends added 2) Are table 1 and table 2 summarizing univariate/multivariate analysis? If that is the case OR and p values should be shown; if not, then those results should be presented in tables and/or graphs. 3) Apart from using X2 test and Student's t test for associations, correlation tests, such as Pearson's and /or Spearman's tests, should be performed and represented graphically 4) It is not very clear which statistical analysis was performed for each result obtained that is described in the text 5) Overall a statistical review should be performed

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 23674

Title: Faecal incontinence and health related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease: Findings from a tertiary care center in South Asia

Reviewer's code: 03254778

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2015-12-11 09:33

Date reviewed: 2016-01-05 05:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well-timed piece with pertinent clinical insight. This manuscript requires revision of data presentation and minor language polishing. I had a few comments: -the presentation of data in figures 1 and 2 should be revised to allow for clearer association with statistics. As they currently stand, both figures are displays of descriptive statistics (counts/frequencies). It is not clear from the figure alone which statistics the chi-squared and student t-tests were testing. - The first line of the conclusion (FI increases with age,gender) is not well supported with figures/tables. If this is the real "core tip" the authors wish the reader to come away with, there really needs to be graphical support. - Throughout the paper there were minor lapses in grammar (lastly in Acknowledgements: "The authors acknowledge all the patients WHO participated in this study and clinic staff who took care of patients")