Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 48471

Title: The Genial Tubercles: Morphological Study of the Controversial Anatomical Landmark using Cone Beam Computed Tomography.

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-08 04:19

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-15 13:25

Review time: 7 Days and 9 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept (High priority)	Peer-Review:
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Accept (General priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[Y] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Minor revision	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[Y] Major revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
[ ] Grade E: Do not publish		[ ] Rejection	[ ] Advanced
			[Y] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors investigated the genial tubercles of morphology using CBCT in Saudi population. Some problems existed. 1.The language needs to be improved because of some grammar and punctuation mistakes. 2. Use of abbreviations: Please

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

indicate the full phrases used in the figures and tables like GT, GTH, ISGT, IGTM and GTW. 3. Citation: When citing others' work, please use the family name of the first author followed by et al if there are more than one author. For example, Singh et al and Padmavathi et al (4,5) and Yin et al 2007 (17). However, the authors did not cite this way. Please check the whole article and correct similar problems. 4. Conclusion in the abstract: Please use just one or two sentences to summarize the relevant information. 5. The authors did not mention if they had obtained the approval of the ethics committee with all the patients given their signed informed consent in the Materials and methods section.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No