

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

Manuscript NO: 75459

Title: Do preoperative pancreatic computed tomography attenuation index and enhancement ratio predict pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy? A prospective study

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02552068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-29 08:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-29 14:55

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	☐ Grade A: Excellent ☒ Grade B: Very good ☐ Grade C: Good ☐ Grade D: Fair ☐ Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	☐ Grade A: Priority publishing ☒ Grade B: Minor language polishing ☐ Grade C: A great deal of language polishing ☐ Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	☐ Accept (High priority) ☒ Accept (General priority) ☐ Minor revision ☐ Major revision ☐ Rejection

Re-review	☐ Yes ☒ No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: ☐ Yes ☒ No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reflects one of the most "hot" topics regarding pancreatic surgery. Therefore, the aims of the study are interesting and chosen with great quality. However, the results of the study don't resolve the formulated issues completely. The patients cohort is not so wide in order to justify definitive conclusions. Therefore, I think the final discussion should be focused on the honest aspect of these findings, leading to more and more investigations on the same aspects.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

Manuscript NO: 75459

Title: Do preoperative pancreatic computed tomography attenuation index and enhancement ratio predict pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy? A prospective study

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01191922

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-12 06:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-25 07:10

Review time: 13 Days

Scientific quality	☐ Grade A: Excellent ☐ Grade B: Very good ☐ Grade C: Good ☐ Grade D: Fair ☒ Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	☐ Grade A: Priority publishing ☐ Grade B: Minor language polishing ☒ Grade C: A great deal of language polishing ☐ Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	☐ Accept (High priority) ☐ Accept (General priority) ☐ Minor revision ☐ Major revision ☒ Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Re-review	☐ Yes ☒ No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: ☐ Yes ☒ No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although it is an interesting study, it has not been demonstrated whether PER could be an independent predictor of CR-POPF due to the lack of multivariable analysis.