

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4366

**Title:** Magnetically-Coated Silica Nanospheres for Dual-Mode Imaging at Low Ultrasound Frequency

**Reviewer code:** 02445854

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-28 17:13

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-23 15:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is interesting for biomedical engineers, but due to its contents it is of no interest and not useful to the readers of the world journal of radiology.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4366

**Title:** Magnetically-Coated Silica Nanospheres for Dual-Mode Imaging at Low Ultrasound Frequency

**Reviewer code:** 00646357

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-28 17:13

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-28 04:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Abstract : OK Introduction: too long try to shortened Aim of work added after introduction M&M: OK Results: OK Discussion: Some paragraphs are needed to add references Format of discussion and comments sections must be together and rewritten according to journal instruction Last paragraph must be conclusion of your work      t end Last paragraph in introduction must be aim of the work

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4366

**Title:** Magnetically-Coated Silica Nanospheres for Dual-Mode Imaging at Low Ultrasound Frequency

**Reviewer code:** 02446681

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-06-28 17:13

**Date reviewed:** 2013-07-30 02:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Objective, methodology and results do not match with the conclusion. MRI detectibility is referred from a previous publication. Since the conclusion is that this has a potential to be used as multimodality contrast agent, MRI experiment should be described in some detail so that the reader make his/her judgement about the multimodality utility of this contrast agent, just referring to a previous publication is not sufficient to support a major conclusion