



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5588

Title: Focal FDG uptake in the absence of the integrated CT abnormality on PET-CT: what is it?

Reviewer code: 00289450

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-09-18 18:05

Date reviewed: 2013-09-23 09:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review about focal FDG uptake in the abscesce of the integrated CT abnormality. I has only a few comments as follows; 1. The section of 'osseous uptake' is better to be included in the section of 'real lesion not seen of CT', becasue there is no reason to be separated from the lesions of gastrointestinal tract. 2. There is a typo of 'PDG' at page 8, which should be corrected to 'FDG'.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5588

Title: Focal FDG uptake in the absence of the integrated CT abnormality on PET-CT: what is it?

Reviewer code: 00289448

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-09-18 18:05

Date reviewed: 2013-09-30 04:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors prepared a simple yet effective pictorial review about common clinical scenarios of PET/CT reading. The description of the multiple situations where focal PET uptakes with no corresponding CT anomaly can happen is complete and clinically oriented. The discussion of the single cases is perfectly matched by clear and exhaustive illustrations. I recommend publication of this paper because of its high didactic value that may help