

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 02825157

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-08-10 16:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

nice case report, well written and concise

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 00618873

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-09-08 16:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent case presentation, good treatment options and discussion. It would be nice to see neuro-imaging of the patient at admission and do a comparison with the 3-month MRI. Also, a picture of the actual stent graft system and some technical aspects would enhance the reader's comprehension.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 00722382

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-08-19 01:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper describes a traumatic carotid cavernous fistula with posterior drainage, the authors mentioned extravasation of contrast, it looks like they are pointing to the posterior cavernous sinus and clival plexus, the posterior drainage of the cavernous sinus into the superior petrosal vein is not identified and there are also anastomosis with the middle cerebral vein that would allow for drainage into the vein of Rosenthal. The paper reports the usage of a covered stent for a CC fistula and this is well documented in the literature.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 02825697

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-09-16 09:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for allowing me the review of the manuscript with the title "Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Grafmaster". The authors here report a rare case of a traumatic arteriovenous fistula between the carotid artery and the basal vein of Rosenthal. The fistula was treated with a covered stent, which brings technical and management challenges. The article is of interest, however many readers would be interested in the authors' thoughts in the decision to choose a single versus double antiplatelet agents especially during the acute phase of the trauma and then their decision about the long term management of the antiplatelet regimen. These thought will enhance the manuscript

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 02831926

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-09-18 16:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please revise "Sylvian". I'd like to learn the stent size. I'd also like to learn about how the authors navigated the stent; guiding catheter type, size, guide-wire? What's the antiplatelet regime after the procedure?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12651

Title: Traumatic Carotid - Rosenthal Fistula Treated with Jostent Graftmaster

Reviewer code: 02831926

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-07-19 16:37

Date reviewed: 2014-09-18 16:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please revise "Sylvian". I'd like to learn the stent size. I'd also like to learn about how the authors navigated the stent; guiding catheter type, size, guide-wire? What's the antiplatelet regime after the procedure?