ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 7279
Title: Diagnostic Dilemma of Distinguishing Serous Cystic Neoplasm of the Pancreas from Mucinous Cystic Neoplasm and Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm
Reviewer code: 00068720
Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin
Date sent for review: 2013-11-13 11:25
Date reviewed: 2013-12-17 08:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper shows the diagnostic pitfalls of pancreatic serous cystic neoplasm (SCN) that may result in erroneous characterization, and shows excellent imaging findings of SCN as well as potential SCN mimickers. 1.The imaging appearance of each SCNs component (microcystic, macrocystic, and solid-appearing components) can reflect the characteristics of the various types. However, the authors should collect more cases of each SCNs,or add more references, if possible. 2. The authors may check and correct some typos throughout the manuscript.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 7279
Title: Diagnostic Dilemma of Distinguishing Serous Cystic Neoplasm of the Pancreas from Mucinous Cystic Neoplasm and Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm
Reviewer code: 00071064
Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin
Date sent for review: 2013-11-13 11:25
Date reviewed: 2014-01-03 21:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This paper nicely gives sufficient information for correct diagnosis and diagnostic pitfalls of pancreatic serous cystic neoplasms (SCN) as well as potential SCN mimickers emphasizing mostly on MCNs and IPMNs. The manuscript has also been supported by many good quality CT and MRI images. Thanks to all authors for this valuable paper. Here are some missing points according to my opinion: 1. If lymphoepithelial cysts have been included in the differential diagnosis of SCN, i think pseudocysts should also have been included because pseudocysts are mostly unilocular cystic lesions which occur due to pancreatic inflammation with higher incidence than lymphoepithelial cysts. 2. A few typing errors should be corrected.