

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10116

Title: MRI CORRELATES OF BEE STING INDUCED MODS: A CASE REPORT

Reviewer code: 00503202

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-03-14 10:36

Date reviewed: 2014-03-17 04:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well written study for a patient who presented with multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, after multiple bee bite, with acute kidney injury, hepatic and cardiac dysfunction, and correlated the clinical findings with MRI findings. Although the MRI findings are representative for the findings in the presented case the statement that ...MRI is the best modality interpreting multiple organ dysfunction in one set-up, should be based upon several other MOD cases that might be extremely different and more difficult to interpret.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10116

Title: MRI CORRELATES OF BEE STING INDUCED MODS: A CASE REPORT

Reviewer code: 00503173

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2014-03-14 10:36

Date reviewed: 2014-03-22 12:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

clinical significance is evident for this case report. the paper was well written. however, the effect of the hypertension (182/93mmHg) on the cardio-thoracic ratio should be analyzed.