



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11376

Title: Current Radiological Strategy of Gastrointestinal neoplasms

Reviewer code: 02503737

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-19 22:54

Date reviewed: 2014-06-18 10:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article looks like one chapter of a textbook for medical students. Although it is well written, the journal is not appropriate for this review.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11376

Title: Current Radiological Strategy of Gastrointestinal neoplasms

Reviewer code: 00200685

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-19 22:54

Date reviewed: 2014-06-01 23:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with interest the manuscript on Current Radiological Strategy of Gastrointestinal neoplasms: I have following concerns/ suggestions Strength: 1. Well description of various types of neoplasm 2. More of a pictorial essay denoting radiological features of GI neoplasms. Weakness: 1. Abstract, introduction, conclusion not a clear delineation of the current status of imaging. Please provide why it matters to use one modality over the other keeping in mind cost, time and contraindications 2. Needs language polishing as appropriate. 3. Many statements are ill defined (from the manuscript: ?Conventional imaging techniques, barium enema can provide valuable information in a short time and at low cost.?MSCT has completed the evolution from a slice-based to a volume-based technique. ? The availability of new contrast agents (microbubbles) in the ultrasound field has greatly improved the performances of ultrasound and offered a new non-invasive way to assess tumor perfusion. etc) 4. Literature evidence missing to justify statement as for above examples 5. No evidence to support the strategy as the name indicates. It would be better to highlight experience from your institution. Article name change should be reconsidered as “ Radiological features of GI neoplasm” 6. Core tips should be based on best practices. With due respect to author's efforts and expertise. Thanks



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11376

Title: Current Radiological Strategy of Gastrointestinal neoplasms

Reviewer code: 00051373

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-05-19 22:54

Date reviewed: 2014-05-24 16:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article summarized the clinical characteristics, imaging features of common gastrointestinal neoplasms in terms of conventional radiologic imaging methods. I have two comments overt here: 1. Because of the title is current radiological strategy, I suggest that the update radiological study like virtual colonoscopy (CT colonoscopy) should be included in this review such as Gandon Y. Screening for colorectal cancer: The role of CTcolonography. Diagn Interv Imaging.2014 Apr 30. pii: S2211-5684(14)00095-3. doi: 10.1016/j.diii.2014.03.012. 2. Other than the 3 text book chapters in the reference section, there were up to 57 references (57/64) more than 10 years old. It needs more update references as recent 5 years or less than 10 years should be more satisfy the topic so-called current radiological strategy.