

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16548

Title: Pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema: Is the emphysema type associated with the pattern of fibrosis?

Reviewer's code: 02346872

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-01-23 08:15

Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 10:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Summary: This study investigated the relationship between specific predominant type of emphysema and the specific HRCT pattern of fibrosis in CPFE. Title: It accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study. Abstract: It gives a clear delineation of objectives, materials and methods, results and conclusions. Materials and methods: The methods are innovative and advanced. The statistical methods are appropriate. Results: The result is reasonable. The sample size and the statistical data, are adequate for a clinical study. Discussion: The systematic theoretical analyses and valuable conclusions are provided. References: The references are appropriate, relevant, and updated. Tables and figures: The table and figures are appropriate for this study. Overall: This is an innovative and advanced study. The predominant type of emphysema was strongly correlated with the predominant type of fibrosis. Centrilobular emphysema group had higher extent of emphysema and lower extent of fibrosis. In CPFE, paraseptal emphysema was associated more with UIP-HRCT pattern and higher extent of fibrosis than centrilobular emphysema. This information may be useful in the diagnosis and prevention of emphysema and pulmonary fibrosis.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16548

Title: Pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema: Is the emphysema type associated with the pattern of fibrosis?

Reviewer's code: 02667055

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-01-23 08:15

Date reviewed: 2015-02-25 23:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, your manuscript is well structured and provides strong data. Materials & Methods are described in details and supported by Literature. Results are clear and neat. The discussion is pertinent and well written. Valuable conclusions are provided with potential clinical impact. Tables and Figures are fine. I would only suggest to trim the Manuscript in order to make it a bit shorter.