

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26453

Title: Assessment of fetus during second trimester ultrasonography using HDlive software: What is its real application in the obstetrics clinical practice?

Reviewer's code: 00742242

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-12 09:20

Date reviewed: 2016-04-20 21:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study Author analyzed the potential impact of HDlive software in the second trimester- The subject is of interest, the manuscript well written so I would to congratulate with Authors for their effort My comments are as follow: 1)some details of how HDlive software is applied in their practice. in other words did Authors use this software only in selected cases (i.e. suspected abnormal cases) or in the general population? 2)the number of cases studied should be given as well as the % of unsuccessful visualization 3)the limitation of HD live in condition of poor imaging quality should be highlighted in the discussion

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 26453

Title: Assessment of fetus during second trimester ultrasonography using HDlive software: What is its real application in the obstetrics clinical practice?

Reviewer's code: 00742253

Reviewer's country: Lithuania

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-12 09:20

Date reviewed: 2016-04-21 03:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written manuscript, nice pictures, nevertheless I am not sure that this method is better than 3D and 4D. The authors have to prove it. Because this is editorial it should be high quality. To prove that your provided method is better than other methods, I suppose it would be good to compare 3D and 4D HDlive: time consumption, cost, experience and would be nice to compare the pictures (to show both pictures 3D and 4D HDlive in one plane). Some pictures are not appropriate, better to remove (figure 2). Would be good to check English as well.