

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22691

Title: Utility of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging in Musculoskeletal Imaging

Reviewer's code: 00233953

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-10-01 22:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

please comment on gadolinium contrast: - NSF in patient with low GFR - brain deposition with repeat imaging and recent FDA advisory

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22691

Title: Utility of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging in Musculoskeletal Imaging

Reviewer's code: 02793276

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-09-17 13:40

Date reviewed: 2015-10-15 20:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting review facing an emerging topic. I have only minor remarks: 1. in the whole manuscript authors refer to PET/MRI likely meaning 18F-FDG PET/MRI. If so, it would be advisable to specify the tracer and to spend some words on the characteristics of this important tracer 2. similarly in all the figures the tracer is named "FDG" this abbreviation needs to be defined at first appearance in the text. 3. by contrast the abbreviation SUV is defined twice in text. 4. Besides 18F-FDG, only choline PET is mentioned. Are there any other tracer of potential interest in the field of Musculoskeletal PET/MRI