

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21747

Title: Endovascular treatment of aortoiliac aneurysms: From intentional occlusion of the internal iliac artery to branch iliac stent graft

Reviewer's code: 00225366

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 15:09

Date reviewed: 2015-09-15 23:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The whole review manuscript is so short and the author is advised to increase the length of the paper. More content should be added and description of techniques can be in greater details.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21747

Title: Endovascular treatment of aortoiliac aneurysms: From intentional occlusion of the internal iliac artery to branch iliac stent graft

Reviewer's code: 00058381

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 15:09

Date reviewed: 2015-09-16 03:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

MAJOR COMMENTS: Interesting review on an important subject. Figure 1: In the text (section "Branch iliac stent graft") three figures are announced ("There are three different IBD devices: the straight-side IBD (S-IBD), the helical-side IBD (H-IBD), and the bifurcated-bifurcated IBD (BB-IBD) (Fig. 1)."). However, only Figure 1A is provided ("Figure 1. Zenith branch iliac stent grafts. A) Straight-side IBD (S-IBD)"); obviously "B)" and "C)" are missing. **MINOR COMMENTS:** Some language polishing is still required, for example in the "Abstract" (uploaded as a separate file): "Buttock claudication was report up to 4% of patient..."; commas are used instead of decimal points; etc. Interestingly, the abstract provided within the text ("manuscript") is a different one (without these problems). Likewise, two different versions are also presented for the "Core Tip". Other examples: Section "Snorkel and sandwich technique", last sentence: "main constrains of this method" -> main constraints of this method. Figure titles: "figur" -> figure.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21747

Title: Endovascular treatment of aortoiliac aneurysms: From intentional occlusion of the internal iliac artery to branch iliac stent graft

Reviewer's code: 00289451

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 15:09

Date reviewed: 2015-09-22 17:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review the authors analyze the pros and cons of different endovascular techniques used to treat aortoiliac artery aneurysms in cases where the distal landing zone is challenging, paying particular attention to the latest developments in branch stent graft technology. This is a good work, however, some aspect need to be improved according to the following minor comments and suggestions: for some of the techniques discussed such as "Bell bottom and" and "Snorkel and sandwich" techniques too few details are provided. For the latter technique authors should consider some very recent papers listed below. It would be appropriate to extend moderately the description of these techniques in order to make the work more comprehensive and better balanced the manuscript. - Donas KP, et al. Use of parallel grafts to save failed prior endovascular aortic aneurysm repair and type Ia endoleaks. Vasc Surg. 2015 62(3):578-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2015.04.395. - Dorsey C, et al. The "terrace technique"--totally endovascular repair of a type IV thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm. Ann Vasc Surg. 2014 28(6):1563.e11-6. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2014.03.010. Furthermore, some perspective experimental study for the automatic positioning of endovascular



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

devices could be added referring to the following paper in the introduction and/or the discussion section: Conversano et al, A quantitative and automatic echographic method for real-time localization of endovascular devices, IEEE TUFFC 2011, 58: 2107-2117.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21747

Title: Endovascular treatment of aortoiliac aneurysms: From intentional occlusion of the internal iliac artery to branch iliac stent graft

Reviewer's code: 00214317

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-11 15:09

Date reviewed: 2015-09-28 20:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well writtern and noeed update of references