

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22375

Title: Grey-scale sonography and sonoelastography for diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome

Reviewer's code: 02699853

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-08-31 16:05

Date reviewed: 2015-11-07 16:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your study is very interesting and it has quality enough to be considered to publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22375

Title: Grey-scale sonography and sonoelastography for diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome

Reviewer's code: 03068027

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-08-31 16:05

Date reviewed: 2015-10-31 07:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting manuscript analyzing the role of sonography in diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome. The overall structure of the manuscript is good and only minor grammatical revision is required. A few comments: 1. The most commonly agreed findings in grey-scale sonography for the diagnosis of CTS is the enlargement of the median nerve cross-sectional area (CSA). They include histological changes at the large myelinated fibers at the periphery of the fascicles. The large myelinated fibers at the periphery of the fascicles do not consist on their own these hystological changes. 2.I would like some more details with regards to the role of the sonographic techniques described in the hole set of diagnosis and treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. My opinion is that with minor revision this would be an interesting article to publish in our journal

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22375

Title: Grey-scale sonography and sonoelastography for diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome

Reviewer's code: 03070252

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-08-31 16:05

Date reviewed: 2015-11-04 00:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent review of the diagnostic modalities for CTS. There is no indication of the 'gold standard' to which these modalities are compared for diagnosing CTS until the 'Future Perspective' section and this mentions only one study. Please comment on what is used as the comparison in these studies (i.e. physical exam, EMG/NCS, etc).