

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 01560036

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-07 17:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very nice and very useful review

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 00214317

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-17 16:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well writtern but need minor Title change into Review imaging of renal cell carcinoma as you discuss other issues than subtypes more data about subtypes of RCC

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 00225366

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-18 01:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reviewed the renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes. A lot of examples as CT and MRI images were given. This is a serious work including over 140 references. Readers of WJR should be benefited from that. My only comment is that the title should be changed to "Review of Renal Cell Carcinoma and its Common Subtypes in Radiology", because it is focused on the radiology study on the cancer cell.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 00058381

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-21 01:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major Comment: This manuscript provides an interesting review on an important topic. As it is a bit lengthy and as it is written for a radiological journal, I would recommend shortening the parts not dealing with imaging in order to make them more concise. **Minor Comments:** Page 11: "Kim et al. showed that the presence of calcifications were significantly more frequent in papillary RCC..." **Suggestion:** "Kim et al. showed that calcifications were significantly more frequent in papillary RCC...". The format of the references is not consistent with the journal style described in the "Instructions to Authors".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 02348457

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-24 20:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please add some figures of the differential diagnosis, such as AML, oncocytoma, lymphoma

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 02887637

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-27 01:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) This is a nice review on appearance of the different subtypes of RCC and mimics. Tumour characteristic on morphologic sequences and perfusional features are reported which help differentiating different histotypes. Appearance of the different tumors on diffusion weighted imaging is lacking, however. I suggest to enclose this information. 2) Page 17, fat-poor angiomyolipoma. Lipid poor AML much be differentiating from AML lacking completely fat. The former display signal loss on opposed-phase compared with in-phase T1-weighted MR images, at least in some areas, while the latter do not. 3) The Authors provide a nice review of the huge literature in which attempt was made to characterize oncocytoma from malignant neoplasms. Some papers report very high sensitivity and specificity, but other deny these findings. As a matter of fact, evidence is now lacking that oncocytoma can reliably be differentiated from malignant neoplasms, in the clinical practice, based on imaging features. This final comment should be enclosed. 4) Appearance of renal lymphoma on diffusion weighted MR imaging should be reported. 5) Information is lacking on use of CEUS in evaluation of solid renal masses. Please, refer to the EFSUMB guidelines (Ultraschall in Med 2011) for current indications. See also: Ultrasound Clin



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

8:581-592, 2013 AJR 2015; 205:W557-W565

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 00227564

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2015-12-27 03:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

(1) There are lack of new visions in imaging and characterization of renal mass. Authors just reviewed the established data and there is lack of new data. (2) Authors mentioned only CT & MRI findings, US and role of contrast enhanced US not mentioned also the role of diffusion weighted MRI, CT perfusion, PET CT not mentioned.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 22192

Title: Review of renal cell carcinoma and its common subtypes in radiology

Reviewer's code: 00227565

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-08-21 19:09

Date reviewed: 2016-01-01 03:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear I interestingly enjoyed this neat manuscript. It is an organized nearly comprehensive review about one of the common urologic neoplasms, renal cell carcinoma (RCC). The authors described the pathologic typographies and background. Then they rapidly passed across the different imaging features of renal cell carcinoma as well as their similar. I feel they go deep for pathology more than imaging, however. It will be good to raise a concise discussion on applying advanced imaging technique in differential diagnosis of RCC. I have the following comments that aim to improve the work. 1) Please compare these percentages with the article of Sun et al and correct them. 2) May you provide some images on the differential diagnostic entities of RCC. 3) Lymphomatous infiltration of the kidney is a commonly met entity and finding during staging of lymphoma. An example will be an addition to the work. 4) The 149 references are too much for such review...May you reduce it to 100 and less. Multiple references discuss the same point could solve this problem. 5) As regard Figure-5; kindly a hint about the Dixon reconstruction and its applications in renal masses; within the text; will be a plus for this manuscript. 6) As regard Figure-7; the mass looks like to be completely within the confinement of the renal parenchyma even invading the renal medulla!! This is not an



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

exophytic mass if it is that in the subfigure 7-a; is not? Good Luck