

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23992

**Title:** Imaging pancreatic islet cells by positron emission tomography

**Reviewer's code:** 02577402

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-14 08:35

**Date reviewed:** 2016-01-16 21:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author reviewed imaging pancreatic islet cells by positron emission tomography. It is a well-written paper. A few problems existed. 1. Some grammar mistakes existed and should be corrected. For example, "which obtained from human fresh frozen cadaveric pancreata of -----" on page 8, here "were " should be added after "which". On page 14, "take together" should be "taken together". On page 17, "In vivo imaging revealed that irregular distribution of radiotracer uptake at the site of the grafted islet cells in the abdominal wall". Here, "that" after "revealed" should be deleted. Or else some verbs should be added after "uptake". 2. There are a lot of abbreviations here in the article. If the authors can list the abbreviations and their complete phrases at the beginning of the article, it will be much convenient. Otherwise it will be difficult to find the exact meaning of each abbreviation. Furthermore, in use of abbreviations: When first using an abbreviation, you should give the full phrase. For example, glucokinase (GK). Later, you can always use GK without mentioning the complete phrase. However, the authors did not abide by this rule all the time. Later, glucokinase appeared again and again on page 15. Other abbreviations which did not have the complete phrase when mentioned for the first time included INS-1 on page 17 and GI on page 10. Please give the



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

complete phrase at the first time of use. Please check the whole article and correct similar problems. 3. Key words: If keywords are needed, please delete the last four key words and add another one key word as "Imaging tracer". 4. Tables: If possible, please use a table to list some similar substances in the table. It will be much simpler and easier for the reader.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23992

**Title:** Imaging pancreatic islet cells by positron emission tomography

**Reviewer's code:** 02835073

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-14 08:35

**Date reviewed:** 2016-02-02 04:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your review is good. It is ready and good enough for publication.