

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27743

Title: C-reactive protein and radiographic findings of lower respiratory tract infection in infants

Reviewer's code: 02835073

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-17 15:01

Date reviewed: 2016-07-16 02:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is good enough for publication.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 27743

Title: C-reactive protein and radiographic findings of lower respiratory tract infection in infants

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-06-17 15:01

Date reviewed: 2016-07-17 08:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigated CRP and radiographic findings of lower respiratory tract infection in infants. It is a well written paper. However, some problems existed. Use of abbreviations: In the abstract, the authors used CRP and WCC without giving the complete phrases. When first using an abbreviation, you should give the full phrase. For example, white cell count (WCC). Later, you can always use the abbreviation WCC without mentioning the full phrase. However, the authors did not abide by this rule all the time. Also, in the text, the authors first used white cell count in the part of "Materials and methods" without mentioning the abbreviation. However, in the results PART, the authors gave the abbreviation for the first time like WCC (white cell count). This is not good. Also, please check the whole article and correct all similar problems. If one phrase was used only once in the text, there is no need to give the abbreviation, and you can use the full phrase.